



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/893,784	06/29/2001	Takashi Kitaguchi	210371US2 6289	
22850	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			VIEAUX, GARY	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2622	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/893,784	KITAGUCHI ET AL.	KITAGUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gary C. Vieaux	2622			

	Gary C. Vieaux	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 24,39 and 43. Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,25,26,36-38 and 40-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-22 and 27-35</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	1	1				
		TUANHO	6				
		IUANTU					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment limitations to the independent claims change the possible number of images considered, and thus possible number of overlapped images employed, and therefore raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration regarding those claims and all claims dependent therefrom.

As an additional note to the Applicant: Beginning in February 2006, Technology Center 2600, to which Art Unit 2612 belongs, began operating under a new structure in which the original 55 art units were consolidated into 17 art units. The applications and classifications covered by Art Unit 2612 are now the responsibility of Art Unit 2622. This change currently applies to the instant application, and therefore, all future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 2622. The Examiner of record has not changed.